arXiv:1512.02880v1 [math.RA] 9 Dec 2015

Quadratic Nonsymmetric Quaternary Operads

Murray R. Bremner*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Saskatchewan, Canada

Juana Sánchez-Ortega

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town, South Africa

Abstract

We use computational linear algebra and commutative algebra to study spaces of relations satisfied by quadrilinear operations. The relations are analogues of associativity in the sense that they are quadratic (every term involves two operations) and nonsymmetric (every term involves the identity permutation of the arguments). We focus on determining those quadratic relations whose cubic consequences have minimal or maximal rank. We approach these problems from the point of view of the theory of algebraic operads.

Keywords: Linear algebra over polynomial rings, algebraic operads, tree monomials, quadratic relations, Gröbner bases, nilpotent operads.
2010 MSC: Primary 15A54. Secondary 05C05, 13P10, 13P15, 15A21, 18D50.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. We recall the definition of the free nonsymmetric operad $\mathcal{O}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ generated by an n-ary operation ϕ. The operation ϕ has n arguments, and any composition of ϕ with itself w times is an operation whose arity k (number of arguments) is given by

$$k = 1 + w(n-1).$$

Thus self-compositions of an n-ary operation ϕ exist in arity k if and only if k is congruent to 1 modulo $n-1$.

These self-compositions are most naturally represented as tree monomials, where the trees are complete rooted planar n-ary trees. For $w = 1$, the generator

*Corresponding author.
Email addresses: bremner@math.usask.ca (Murray R. Bremner), juana.sanchez-ortega@uct.ac.za (Juana Sánchez-Ortega)

ϕ corresponds to the tree with one internal node and n leaf nodes:



For $w = 2$ we obtain trees of the following form with $2n - 1$ leaf nodes:



For $w = 3$ we obtain trees of the following two forms with $3n - 2$ leaf nodes:



We impose a total order on tree monomials as follows: to compare two monomials, we find the position of the leftmost unequal subtrees; if these subtrees have different arities, the higher arity precedes; if these subtrees have the same arity, we recursively compare the subtrees.

Tree monomials can be composed in many different ways. If α and β are tree monomials of arities k and ℓ respectively, then for $1 \le i \le k$ we define the composition $\alpha \circ_i \beta$ to be the tree monomial of arity $k+\ell-1$ obtained by substituting (the root of) β for the i-th argument of α. We have:

- $\mathcal{O}(1) = \mathbb{F}\iota$ where ι is the identity operation,
- $\mathcal{O}(n) = \mathbb{F}\phi$,
- $\dim \mathcal{O}(2n-1) = n$ with ordered basis $\{\, \phi \circ_i \phi \mid 1 \le i \le n \,\}$,
- $\dim \mathcal{O}(3n-2) = \frac{1}{2}n(3n-1)$ with ordered basis

$$\{\, \phi \circ_i (\phi \circ_j \phi) \mid 1 \le i, j \le n \,\} \cup \{\, (\phi \circ_j \phi) \circ_i \phi \mid 1 \le i < j \le n \,\}.$$

Definition 1.1. We write $\mathcal{O}(k)$ for the vector space with basis consisting of all n-ary tree monomials of arity k. The **free nonsymmetric n-ary operad $\mathcal{O}$**

generated by the operation ϕ is the disjoint union of the spaces $\mathcal{O}(k)$ with the operations $\alpha \circ_i \beta$ extended bilinearly:

$$\mathcal{O} = \coprod_{w=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}\big(1 + w(n-1)\big).$$

Lemma 1.2. *The dimension of the space $\mathcal{O}(k)$ is the n-ary Catalan number:*

$$\dim \mathcal{O}\big(1 + w(n-1)\big) = \frac{1}{(n-1)w+1}\binom{nw}{w}.$$

Proof. See §7.5 in Graham et al. [4]. □

Remark 1.3. We assume that the operation ϕ has homological degree 0, so that we do not need to concern ourselves with possible sign changes that may occur when compositions are performed in different orders. For further details on this and other issues, see the comprehensive introduction to algebraic operads by Loday and Vallette [5]. The algorithmic and computational aspects are discussed by Bremner and Dotsenko [1].

Definition 1.4. If $w = 2$ then $k = 2n-1$, and any subspace $R \subseteq \mathcal{O}(2n-1)$ is a **space of quadratic relations**, since every monomial involves two occurrences of the n-ary operation. The **operad ideal** $\mathcal{I} = (R)$ generated by the quadratic subspace $R \subseteq \mathcal{O}(2n-1)$ is the smallest subspace $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ which contains R and is closed under left and right compositions by arbitrary elements of $\mathcal{O}$. That is, for all integers $k, \ell \geq 1$, all $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(k) = \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{O}(k)$, and all $\beta \in \mathcal{O}(\ell)$, we have

$$\alpha \circ_i \beta,\ \beta \circ_j \alpha \in \mathcal{I}(k+\ell-1) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq \ell).$$

The **quotient operad** $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}$ is defined by $\mathcal{Q}(k) = \mathcal{O}(k)/\mathcal{I}(k)$ for all $k \geq 1$ with the induced operations; this is the general quadratic nonsymmetric n-ary operad with one generator.

2. The quaternary case ($n = 4$)

For the rest of this paper, we consider only the case of a quaternary operation ($n = 4$). See [1, Chapter 10] for a detailed study of the ternary case ($n = 3$).

In low arities, we can write out the tree monomials as placements of parentheses in sequences of asterisks representing arguments to the operations. For $\mathcal{O}(1)$ we have $\{ * \}$; for $\mathcal{O}(4)$ we have $\{ \phi = (****) \}$; for $\mathcal{O}(7)$ we have

$$\{ \phi \circ_i \phi \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4 \} = \{ ((****)***),\ (*(****)**),\ (**(****)*),\ (***(****)) \}.$$

For $\mathcal{O}(10)$ see Table 1.

Let $R \subseteq \mathcal{O}(7)$ be a subspace of quadratic relations. Given our ordered basis of $\mathcal{O}(7)$, any subspace R of dimension r is the row space of a unique $r \times 4$ matrix $[R]$ in row canonical form (RCF).

$1\colon \phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_1 \phi) = (((****)***)***)$	$2\colon \phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) = ((*(****)**)***)$
$3\colon \phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) = ((**(****)*)***)$	$4\colon \phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_4 \phi) = ((***(****))***)$
$5\colon (\phi \circ_2 \phi) \circ_1 \phi = ((****)(****)**)$	$6\colon (\phi \circ_3 \phi) \circ_1 \phi = ((****)*(****)*)$
$7\colon (\phi \circ_4 \phi) \circ_1 \phi = ((****)**(****))$	$8\colon \phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_1 \phi) = (*((****)***)**)$
$9\colon \phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) = (*(*(****)**)**)$	$10\colon \phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) = (*(**(****)*)**)$
$11\colon \phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_4 \phi) = (*(***(****))**)$	$12\colon (\phi \circ_3 \phi) \circ_2 \phi = (*(****)(****)*)$
$13\colon (\phi \circ_4 \phi) \circ_2 \phi = (*(****)*(****))$	$14\colon \phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_1 \phi) = (**((****)***)*)$
$15\colon \phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) = (**(*(****)**)*)$	$16\colon \phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) = (**(**(****)*)*)$
$17\colon \phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_4 \phi) = (**(***(****))*)$	$18\colon (\phi \circ_4 \phi) \circ_3 \phi = (**(****)(****))$
$19\colon \phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_1 \phi) = (***((****)***))$	$20\colon \phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) = (***(*(****)**))$
$21\colon \phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) = (***(**(****)*))$	$22\colon \phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_4 \phi) = (***(***(****)))$

Table 1: The 22 tree monomials forming an ordered basis of $\mathcal{O}(10)$

- For $r = 0$ we have $R = \{0\}$, there are no relations, and $\mathcal{Q} \cong \mathcal{O}$.
- For $r = 4$ we have $R = \mathcal{O}(7)$, every quadratic tree monomial is zero, and $\mathcal{Q}$ is nilpotent of index 2.
- For $0 < r < 4$ the relation matrices $[R]$ are given in Table 2, where a, b, c, d are parameters that take arbitrary values in $\mathbb{F}$.

For each $r = 0, \ldots, 4$ there are $\binom{4}{r}$ choices for the columns of the leading 1s.

r				
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b & c \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & a & b \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & a \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
2	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c & d \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	
		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & b \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
3	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Table 2: The relation matrices $[R]$ of ranks $1 \leq r \leq 3$ in arity 7

The entries of the matrix $[R]$ form an initial sequence of $t \geq 2$ elements of the ordered set $\{0, 1, a, b, c, d\}$, and except in a few special cases we have $t \geq 3$. Thus in general $[R]$ is a matrix over a polynomial ring in 0 to 4 variables. For matrices over $\mathbb{F}$ we can use Gaussian elimination to compute the RCF, and for matrices over $\mathbb{F}[a]$ we can combine Gaussian elimination with the Euclidean

algorithm for GCDs to compute the Hermite normal form (HNF). But for two or more variables, we have to use Gröbner basis techniques. Let P denote the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{F}$ in $k \geq 2$ variables. We regard a row vector of length t with entries in P as an element of the free P-module P^t of rank t. We regard an $s \times t$ matrix A with entries in P as a set of s generators for a submodule of P^t. To find a canonical form for A, we may then appeal to the theory of Gröbner bases for submodules of free modules over polynomial rings; see for example Cox et al. [2, Chapter 5].

To compute the Gröbner basis for the submodule generated by the rows of A, we use elementary row operations over P:

- interchange two rows,
- multiply a row by an invertible element of P (a nonzero element of $\mathbb{F}$),
- add an arbitrary P-multiple of one row to another row.

In order to have room to compute S-polynomials, we may also need to add zero rows to the bottom of the matrix.

We have introduced parameters into the quadratic relation matrices $[R]$, so we have implicitly changed the ring of coefficients from the field $\mathbb{F}$ to the polynomial ring P generated over $\mathbb{F}$ by the entries of $[R]$. Thus all linear structures are no longer vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}$ but modules over P. In particular $\mathcal{O}(k)$ is a free P-module, and $\mathcal{I}(k)$ is a submodule of this free P-module.

Definition 2.1. Every row of the relation matrix $[R]$ is the coefficient vector of a quadratic relation $\rho = \Sigma_{i=1}^{4} c_i \, \phi \circ_i \phi \in \mathcal{O}(7)$. The homogeneous submodule $\mathcal{I}(10)$ is generated by the **consequences** of the relations ρ; for each ρ these are obtained by right- and left-multiplying ρ by ϕ. Thus $\rho \circ_i \phi$ $(1 \leq i \leq 7)$ and $\phi \circ_j \rho$ $(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ give 11 consequences for each ρ.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that the quadratic relation matrix* $[R]$ *has rank* r *and entries in the polynomial ring* P*. Then the cubic relation module* $\mathcal{I}(10)$ *is the submodule of the free* P*-module of rank 22 generated by the rows of the* $11r \times 22$ *matrix whose rows are the coefficient vectors of the consequences of* $[R]$.

Among our goals in this paper, we mention the following:

- Determine how $\dim \mathcal{I}(10)$ depends on the parameters in $[R]$, and in particular, find the minimum and maximum cubic dimension.
- The operads with the minimum dimension have the smallest spaces of cubic relations, hence the largest quotient spaces; for the given quadratic rank, they are as close to free as possible.
- The operads with the maximum dimension have the smallest quotient spaces; they are as close to nilpotent as possible.

All computations were performed on a MacBook Pro using the Maple 18 packages ListTools, LinearAlgebra, and Groebner.

3. Quadratic Relation Rank 1

85 *3.1. Case 1*

For rank 1, case 1, the quadratic relation matrix is

$$[R] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b & c \end{bmatrix}$$

The row module $\mathcal{I}(7)$ of $[R]$ over $P = \mathbb{F}[a, b, c]$ is generated by the relation

$$\rho = \phi \circ_1 \phi + a\,\phi \circ_2 \phi + b\,\phi \circ_3 \phi + c\,\phi \circ_4 \phi.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Using the basis of Table 1, the 11 consequences of ρ in arity 10 are given in Table 3. The matrix whose row module $\mathcal{I}(10)$ is generated by these consequences is given in Table 4; the rows have been sorted so that the leading 1s go from left to right (we have written dot for zero to increase legibility).*

$$\begin{array}{l}
\rho \circ_1 \phi = \phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_1 \phi) + a\,(\phi \circ_2 \phi) \circ_1 \phi + b\,(\phi \circ_3 \phi) \circ_1 \phi + c\,(\phi \circ_4 \phi) \circ_1 \phi, \\
\rho \circ_2 \phi = \phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) + a\,\phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_1 \phi) + b\,(\phi \circ_3 \phi) \circ_2 \phi + c\,(\phi \circ_4 \phi) \circ_2 \phi, \\
\rho \circ_3 \phi = \phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) + a\,\phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) + b\,\phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_1 \phi) + c\,(\phi \circ_4 \phi) \circ_3 \phi, \\
\rho \circ_4 \phi = \phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_4 \phi) + a\,\phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) + b\,\phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) + c\,\phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_1 \phi), \\
\rho \circ_5 \phi = (\phi \circ_2 \phi) \circ_1 \phi + a\,\phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_4 \phi) + b\,\phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) + c\,\phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_2 \phi), \\
\rho \circ_6 \phi = (\phi \circ_3 \phi) \circ_1 \phi + a\,(\phi \circ_3 \phi) \circ_2 \phi + b\,\phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_4 \phi) + c\,\phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_3 \phi), \\
\rho \circ_7 \phi = (\phi \circ_4 \phi) \circ_1 \phi + a\,(\phi \circ_4 \phi) \circ_2 \phi + b\,(\phi \circ_4 \phi) \circ_3 \phi + c\,\phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_4 \phi), \\
\phi \circ_1 \rho = \phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_1 \phi) + a\,\phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) + b\,\phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) + c\,\phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_4 \phi), \\
\phi \circ_2 \rho = \phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_1 \phi) + a\,\phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) + b\,\phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) + c\,\phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_4 \phi), \\
\phi \circ_3 \rho = \phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_1 \phi) + a\,\phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) + b\,\phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) + c\,\phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_4 \phi), \\
\phi \circ_4 \rho = \phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_1 \phi) + a\,\phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) + b\,\phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) + c\,\phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_4 \phi).
\end{array}$$

Table 3: Rank 1, case 1: consequences in arity 10 of relation ρ

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & a & b & c & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
1 & . & . & . & a & b & c & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & b & c & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & b & . & . & . & c & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & b & . & . & . & c & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & b & . & . & . & c & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & b & . & . & . & c \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & b & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & b & c & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & b & c & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & b & c
\end{bmatrix}$$

Table 4: Rank 1, case 1: matrix of consequences in arity 10

Proposition 3.2. *The matrix of Table 4 has minimal rank 10 and maximal rank 11. Rank 10 is achieved for the following values of a, b, c:*

$$(a,b,c) = (0,0,0),\ (0,0,-1),\ (-1,1,-1),\ (\alpha,\alpha^2,-1) \qquad (\alpha^2-\alpha+1=0).$$

All other values of a, b, c give rank 11.

Proof. We write $P = \mathbb{F}[a,b,c]$. We compute a Gröbner basis for the submodule of the free P-module P^{22} generated by the 11 rows of the matrix in Table 4. This is straightforward since except at one step the set $\{1\}$ is a Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by the matrix entries at and below the current pivot.

We swap the leading 1s in the first 8 columns up to the diagonal and use them to create an identity matrix of size 8 in the upper left corner. At the next step, the pivot is in position $(9,9)$ and contains $-a^3+ab$ with two 0s below it; we multiply row 9 by -1 to make the leading entry monic, and use this leading entry to reduce the entry in row 1. The last two pivots are leading 1s in columns 14 and 19, which we use to eliminate the nonzero entries in those columns.

Thus every row has a leading 1 except for row 9, so the matrix has rank 10 or 11, and the rank is 10 if and only if row 9 is zero. The nonzero entries in row 9 from left to right are:

$$a^3-ab,\ a^2b-ac,\ a^2c+a^2,\ ab^2-bc,\ b^3+ab,\ b^2c+b^2,\ ac^2+ac,\ bc^2+bc,\ c^3+c^2.$$

These polynomials generate an ideal $I \subset P$ with this deglex Gröbner basis:

$$b^2-ca, \quad cb+a^2, \quad a(a^2-b), \quad a(ab-c), \quad a^2(c+1), \quad ac(c+1), \quad c^2(c+1).$$

A power of each parameter occurs as the leading monomial of an element of the Gröbner basis, and so the ideal is zero-dimensional (its zero set is finite).

From the last element we see that either $c=0$ or $c=-1$. If $c=0$ then the basis reduces to b^2, a^2, $a(a^2-b)$, a^2b, a^2 and hence $a=b=0$. If $c=-1$ then the basis reduces to

$$f_1 = b^2+a, \quad f_2 = a^2-b, \quad f_3 = a(a^2-b), \quad f_4 = a(ab+1).$$

We have $f_3 = af_2$ and $f_4 = f_1 + bf_2$, so we need only f_1 and f_2. Setting $b=a^2$ in b^2+a gives $a^4+a = a(a+1)(a^2-a+1)$, giving the solutions $a=0$, -1, or a primitive 6th root of unity. This completes the proof. □

3.2. Case 2

For rank 1, case 2, the quadratic relation matrix is

$$[R] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & a & b \end{bmatrix}$$

The row module $\mathcal{I}(7)$ of $[R]$ over $P = \mathbb{F}[a,b]$ is generated by the relation

$$\rho = \phi \circ_2 \phi + a\,\phi \circ_3 \phi + b\,\phi \circ_4 \phi.$$

$$
\begin{array}{l}
\rho \circ_1 \phi = (\phi \circ_2 \phi) \circ_1 \phi + a\,(\phi \circ_3 \phi) \circ_1 \phi + b\,(\phi \circ_4 \phi) \circ_1 \phi,\\
\rho \circ_2 \phi = \phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_1 \phi) + a\,(\phi \circ_3 \phi) \circ_2 \phi + b\,(\phi \circ_4 \phi) \circ_2 \phi,\\
\rho \circ_3 \phi = \phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) + a\,\phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_1 \phi) + b\,(\phi \circ_4 \phi) \circ_3 \phi,\\
\rho \circ_4 \phi = \phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) + a\,\phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) + b\,\phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_1 \phi),\\
\rho \circ_5 \phi = \phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_4 \phi) + a\,\phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) + b\,\phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_2 \phi),\\
\rho \circ_6 \phi = (\phi \circ_3 \phi) \circ_2 \phi + a\,\phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_4 \phi) + b\,\phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_3 \phi),\\
\rho \circ_7 \phi = (\phi \circ_4 \phi) \circ_2 \phi + a\,(\phi \circ_4 \phi) \circ_3 \phi + b\,\phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_4 \phi),\\
\phi \circ_1 \rho = \phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) + a\,\phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) + b\,\phi \circ_1 (\phi \circ_4 \phi),\\
\phi \circ_2 \rho = \phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) + a\,\phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) + b\,\phi \circ_2 (\phi \circ_4 \phi),\\
\phi \circ_3 \rho = \phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) + a\,\phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) + b\,\phi \circ_3 (\phi \circ_4 \phi),\\
\phi \circ_4 \rho = \phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_2 \phi) + a\,\phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_3 \phi) + b\,\phi \circ_4 (\phi \circ_4 \phi).
\end{array}
$$

Table 5: Rank 1, case 2: consequences in arity 10 of the relation ρ

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
. & 1 & a & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & a & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & a & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & b & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & b & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & b & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & b & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & b \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & b & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & b
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Table 6: Rank 1, case 2: matrix of consequences in arity 10

Lemma 3.3. *Using the basis of Table 1, the 11 consequences of ρ in arity 10 are given in Table 5. The matrix whose row module $\mathcal{I}(10)$ over P is generated by these consequences is given in Table 6.*

Proposition 3.4. *The rank of the matrix of Table 6 is either 10 or 11; it is 10 if and only if $a = b = 0$.*

Proof. We compute a Gröbner basis for the submodule of P^{22} generated by the rows of the matrix of Table 6 following the proof of Proposition 3.2, and obtain

the following canonical form of the matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
. & 1 & a & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & a & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & -a^2 & -ab & . & . & -ab & -b^2 \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & a^3{-}ab & a^2b & . & -ab & . & b^2a & b^3 \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & -a^2 & -ab & . & b & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & -ab & -b^2 \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & b & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & b \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & a & -a^3{+}ab & -a^2b & b & ab & . & -b^2a & -b^3 \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & b & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & b
\end{bmatrix}$$

The only row whose leading entry is not 1 is row 9, and the nonzero elements of row 9 are a, $-a^3{+}ab$, $-a^2b$, b, ab, $-b^2a$, $-b^3$, which generate the ideal whose deglex Gröbner basis is $\{a, b\}$ and whose zero set is the single point $\{(0, 0)\}$. This completes the proof. □

3.3. Case 3

For rank 1, case 3, the quadratic relation matrix is

$$[R] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & a \end{bmatrix}$$

The row module $\mathcal{I}(7)$ of $[R]$ over $P = \mathbb{F}[a]$ is generated by the relation

$$\rho = \phi \circ_3 \phi + a\, \phi \circ_4 \phi.$$

In this case P is a PID so we compute the HNF of the matrix of consequences.

$$\begin{bmatrix}
. & . & 1 & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & a & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & a & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & a & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & a \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a
\end{bmatrix}$$

Table 7: Rank 1, case 3: matrix of consequences in arity 10

Proposition 3.5. *The matrix whose row module $\mathcal{I}(10)$ over P is generated by the consequences of $[R]$ is given in Table 7. This matrix has rank either 10 or 11; the rank is 10 if and only if $a = 0$.*

Proof. Column 16 contains two leading 1s which suggests that the matrix does not always have full rank. The HNF of the matrix is as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
. & . & 1 & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & -a^2 \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & a^3 \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & -a^2 \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & a \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & -a^3 \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & a
\end{bmatrix}$$

Row 10 is the only row which does not have a leading 1, and its nonzero entries are a, $-a^3$. This completes the proof. □

3.4. Case 4

For rank 1, case 4, the quadratic relation matrix is

$$[R] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The row module $\mathcal{I}(7)$ of $[R]$ over $P = \mathbb{F}$ is generated by the relation

$$\rho = \phi \circ_4 \phi.$$

In this case P is a field so we can find the rank of the matrix of consequences by computing its RCF.

$$\begin{bmatrix}
. & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & .
\end{bmatrix}$$

Table 8: Rank 1, case 4: matrix of consequences in arity 10

Proposition 3.6. *Table 8 gives the matrix whose row space $\mathcal{I}(10)$ over P is the space of consequences in arity 10. This matrix is in RCF and has rank 10.*

3.5. Summary for quadratic relation rank 1

Theorem 3.7. *For a 1-dimensional space of quadratic relations in arity 7, the rank of the cubic consequences in arity 10 is either 10 or 11. None of the corresponding operads are nilpotent of index 3. For the subspaces spanned by the following 8 relations, the rank is 10:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
1a) &\quad \rho = \phi \circ_1 \phi, \\
1b) &\quad \rho = \phi \circ_1 \phi - \phi \circ_4 \phi, \\
1c) &\quad \rho = \phi \circ_1 \phi - \phi \circ_2 \phi + \phi \circ_3 \phi - \phi \circ_4 \phi, \\
1d) &\quad \rho = \phi \circ_1 \phi + \alpha\, \phi \circ_2 \phi + \alpha^2\, \phi \circ_3 \phi - \phi \circ_4 \phi \quad (\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1 = 0), \\
2) &\quad \rho = \phi \circ_2 \phi, \\
3) &\quad \rho = \phi \circ_3 \phi, \\
4) &\quad \rho = \phi \circ_4 \phi.
\end{aligned}
$$

For all other 1-dimensional subspaces, the rank is 11.

Remark 3.8. Relation 1c) and its generalization to all even n (the alternating sum over all quadratic monomials) was discovered by Gnedbaye [3] in his study of Koszul duality for n-ary operads. The analogous relation for odd n is the sum over all quadratic monomials.

4. Quadratic Relation Rank 2

4.1. Case 1

For rank 2, case 1, the quadratic relation matrix is

$$
[R] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c & d \end{bmatrix}
$$

Each row of this matrix has 11 cubic consequences which are linear combinations of the 22 monomials in Table 1.

Lemma 4.1. *The* 22×22 *matrix over* $\mathbb{F}[a, b, c, d]$ *whose rows generate the module of cubic consequences of the quadratic relations* $[R]$ *is given in Table 9; the rows have been sorted so the leading 1s go from left to right.*

In this case, to compute a reduced form of the matrix, we use the algorithm for the "partial Smith form" discussed in [1, Chapter 8] and reproduced below. This algorithm uses elementary row and column operations to reduce an $m \times n$ matrix A over a polynomial ring P (with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}$) containing many nonzero scalar entries to a block diagonal matrix with an upper left identity matrix I_r measuring the minimal rank r of A and a lower right block B which is typically much smaller than A and contains all the information needed to understand how the rank of A depends on the parameters in P. Row operations replace one generating set for the submodule generated by the m rows of A by another generating set. Column operations replace one basis for the free

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccc}
1 & . & a & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
1 & . & . & . & . & a & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & 1 & c & d & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & a & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & b & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & b & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & c & d & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & b & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & b & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & b \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & a & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & c & d & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & c & d & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & c & . & . & . & d & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & c & . & . & . & d & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & c & . & . & . & d & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & c & . & . & . & d & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & c & . & . & . & d \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & a & b & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & c & d & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & a & b \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & c & d
\end{array}\right]$$

Table 9: Rank 2, case 1: matrix of consequences in arity 10

P-module P^n by another basis. In both cases, the determinant of the transformation is a nonzero element of $\mathbb{F}$. The output of this algorithm is not a canonical form of the matrix A, but it has the information we need in a more compact form than the Gröbner basis for the submodule generated by the rows of A; see Remark 4.4 for further information about Gröbner bases for modules.

Lemma 4.2. *We use the algorithm in Table 10 to compute the partial Smith form of the matrix of consequences in Table 9. We obtain the block diagonal matrix* $\mathrm{diag}(I_{17}, B)$ *where*

$$-B = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & a^2c+acd & abc+ad^2+ac & abd+ad & b^2d+bd \\
-d & c^3+ac-cd & c^2d+bc & acd+cd^2 & bcd+d^3 \\
0 & a^3+abc & a^2b+abd+a^2 & ab^2+ab & b^3+b^2 \\
-ad & -a & -ac & 0 & 0 \\
cd & ac^2-bc & acd+c^2 & a^2d+bcd+cd & abd+bd^2+d^2
\end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. The algorithm in Table 10 requires $r = 17$ iterations and 87 row/column operations; see Table 11. The result is an upper left identity matrix of size 17 and (the negative of) the 5×5 lower right block displayed above. □

Proposition 4.3. *The only values of the parameters* (a, b, c, d) *for which the matrix* B *of Lemma 4.2 equals zero (and hence for which the matrix of consequences of Table 9 has minimal rank 17) are* $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ *and* $(0, -1, 0, 0)$.

Proof. The deglex Gröbner basis ($a \prec b \prec c \prec d$) for the ideal generated by the entries of B is $\{a, d, bc, c^2, b^2(b+1)\}$ from which it follows immediately that $a = c = d = 0$ and $b \in \{0, -1\}$. □

Remark 4.4. Instead of computing the partial Smith form of the matrix in Table 9, we could instead compute its "row canonical form" by finding the Gröbner basis for the submodule generated by its rows. However, we will see that this requires much more work and does not give us any more useful information.

For the first 15 columns, there is always an entry 1 at or below the pivot, so we easily create an identity matrix of size 15 in the upper left corner. When we reach column 16, the nonzero entries at or below the pivot are

$$a, \quad -ac^2 + bc, \quad -a^3 - abc, \quad -a^2c - acd, \quad -c^3 - ac + cd.$$

These entries generate an ideal with deglex Gröbner basis $\{\, a, bc, c^3 - cd \,\}$; this is easily obtained from the generators using row operations since the first generator a allows us to eliminate every term containing a from the other generators.

The pivot is now at $(19, 17)$; there are two nonzero entries at or below it:

$$f = c^2ba + ca^3 - dba - ba^2 - a^2, \qquad g = dc^2a + c^2a^2 - d^2a - cba - ca.$$

These entries generate an ideal whose deglex Gröbnex basis contains f, g and

$$\begin{aligned} h &= dca^3 + ca^4 + cb^2a - 2dba^2 - ba^3 + cba - da^2 - a^3, \\ k &= 2dcba^2 - d^2a^3 + cba^3 - db^2a + dca^2 - b^2a^2 + ca^3 - dba - 2ba^2 - a^2, \\ \ell &= d^2a^4 + cba^4 + 2cb^3a - 3db^2a^2 - b^2a^3 + 3cb^2a - 3dba^2 - ba^3 + cba - da^2. \end{aligned}$$

The existence of non-trivial S-polynomials means that to compute the Gröbner basis using row operations we need to add zero rows to the matrix. For example, f and g produce the S-polynomial $s = df - bg$ with reduced form $s' = s + af = h$. In matrix terms, we create a row 23, perform $R_{23} + dR_{19}$ and $R_{23} - bR_{20}$ to create s in row 23, perform $R_{23} + aR_{19}$ to create h in row 23, and then do $R_{21} \leftrightarrow R_{23}$ to move h to row 21, so that now f, g, h occur in rows 19-21. Further similar calculations produce the complete Gröbner basis for column 17; since the Gröbner basis has five elements but the original generating set has only two, we need to add three rows to the matrix.

After processing column 17 it remains only to deal with two more leading 1s in columns 19 and 20, and the computation is complete. The result is a 25×22 matrix; after deleting the rows and columns containing the leading 1s, we are left with an 8×5 submatrix (analogous to the block B of Lemma 4.2).

Remark 4.5. The ideal generated by the entries of B is the first determinantal ideal of B. In general, the r-th determinantal ideal of B, denoted $DI_r(B)$, is the ideal generated by the $r \times r$ minors of B. We write $V(DI_r(B))$ for the zero set of this ideal: all points in the parameter space $\mathbb{F}^k$ for which every $f \in DI_r(B)$ vanishes. Then $\operatorname{rank}(B) = r$ for $(a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in \mathbb{F}^k$ if and only if $(a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in V(DI_{r+1}(B)) \setminus V(DI_r(B))$.

Example 4.6. The second determinantal ideal $DI_2(B)$ is generated by 87 distinct nonzero monic 2×2 minors of degrees 4, 5, 6 with up to 11 terms and coefficients $\pm 1, \pm 2$. Its deglex Gröbner basis has 18 elements of degrees 2 to 5 with up to 4 terms and coefficients ± 1:

$$ad, \quad abc, \quad bcd, \quad ac(a^2+c), \quad a^2b(b+1), \quad a^2(c^2+ab+a), \quad ab^2(b+1),$$
$$ac(c^2+a), \quad b^2d(b+1), \quad bd^2(b+1), \quad cd(c^2-d), \quad d^2(c^2-bd-d), \quad cd(d^2+c),$$
$$a^2(a^2b+a^2+c), \quad b^3c(b+1), \quad b^2c^2(b+1), \quad d^2(bd^2+d^2+c), \quad c(c^4+b^2c-a^2-d^2).$$

The ideal $DI_2(B)$ is not zero-dimensional; removing the two points in $V(DI_1(B))$ from $V(DI_2(B))$, we are left with a 1-parameter family and 6 isolated points:

$$V(DI_2(B)) \setminus V(DI_1(B)) = \{\, (0,-1,0,d) \mid d \in \mathbb{F},\, d \neq 0 \,\} \cup \{\, (-1,0,-1,0),$$
$$(0,-1,-1,0),\, (0,0,-1,1),\, (0,-1,\alpha,0),\, (\alpha,0,\alpha^{-1},0),\, (0,0,\alpha,\alpha^2) \,\},$$

where α is a primitive 6th root of unity ($\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1 = 0$). All of these parameter values produce rank exactly 18 for the matrix of consequences in arity 10.

Proposition 4.7. *The determinant of the block B of Lemma 4.2 factors as follows, where α is a primitive 6th root of unity:*

$$\det(B) = -a^2d^2(ad-bc)^2(ad-bc-b-c-1) \times \big(ad-bc-c+\alpha(b+1)\big)\big(ad-bc-c+\alpha^{-1}(b+1)\big).$$

For any quadruple (a,b,c,d) of parameter values satisfying $\det(B) \neq 0$, the rank of the matrix of consequences (Table 9) is 22 which is $\dim \mathcal{O}(10)$, and so the corresponding operads are nilpotent of index 3. These operads form a Zariski dense subset of the parameter space $\mathbb{F}^4$. For any quadruple (a,b,c,d) satisfying $\det(B) = 0$, the rank of the matrix of consequences will be strictly less than 22. (These operads may still be nilpotent, but of index strictly greater than 3.)

Proof. Since B is a square matrix, its top determinantal ideal $DI_5(B)$ is the principal ideal generated by its determinant, and $\operatorname{rank}(B) < 5$ if and only if a, b, c, d satisfy $\det(B) = 0$. Since $17 + 5 = 22 = \dim \mathcal{O}(10)$, the rest follows. □

Remark 4.8. Proposition 4.7 illustrates the general fact that whenever the maximal rank of the matrix of consequences in any weight is equal to the dimension of the free operad in that weight, and that is the lowest weight for which this equality occurs, then a Zariski dense subset of the parameter values correspond to operads which are nilpotent of index equal to that weight.

4.2. Case 2

For rank 2, case 2, the quadratic relation matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c \end{bmatrix}$$

Each row has 11 cubic consequences which are linear combinations of the 22 monomials in Table 1. The 22×22 matrix over $\mathbb{F}[a,b,c]$ whose rows generate the module of cubic consequences is given in Table 12.

Proposition 4.9. *The only values of the parameters* (a, b, c) *for which the matrix of consequences of Table 12 has minimal rank 17 are* $(0, 0, 0)$ *and* $(0, -1, 0)$.

Proof. In this case it is not difficult to compute the Gröbner basis for the submodule generated by the rows; at every step, the ideal generated by the entries at or below the pivot is principal. The final result is presented in Table 13; the last row of the matrix is zero, which shows that the matrix never attains full rank and that the corresponding operads are never nilpotent of index 3.

If we delete the 17 rows and 17 columns of the Gröbner basis which contain leading 1s, then we obtain this 5×5 block, which is a canonical analogue of the lower right block obtained from the algorithm for the partial Smith form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & -ac^2 & \cdot & \cdot & -abc^3-b^2c-bc \\ \cdot & a^3c^2+a^2b+abc+a^2 & \cdot & ab^2+ab & -ab^2c^2+a^2bc-abc^2+b^3+b^2 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & c & -c^3 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a^2c^3+abc+bc^2+c^2 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

(Row 5 and column 3 are zero.) The deglex Gröbner basis for the ideal of $\mathbb{F}[a, b, c]$ generated by the entries of this matrix is $\{a, c, b^2(b + 1)\}$ which shows that the rank is 17 if and only if $a = c = 0$ and $b \in \{0, -1\}$. □

Example 4.10. As in Example 4.6, we get more information about the rank of the matrix (1) from its determinantal ideals. The nonzero 2×2 minors are

$$\begin{gathered} ac, \quad a^3c, \quad ac(ab+bc+a), \quad ac(ab+bc+c), \quad ac^3, \quad ab^2c(b+1), \quad a^5c, \\ a^2b(a^2c+b+1), \quad a^2(a^2c^2+ab+bc+a), \quad ab(b+1)(a^2c+b), \quad a^2bc(ab+a-c), \\ a^3c(ab+bc+a), \quad a^3c(ab+bc+c), \quad a^3c^3, \quad a^2bc(b+1)^2, \quad c^2(a^2c^2+ab+bc+c), \\ abc^2(b+1)^2, \quad bc(b+1)(ac^2+b), \quad -abc^2(-bc+a-c), \quad ac(ab+bc+a)(ab+bc+c), \\ bc^2(ac^2+b+1), \quad ac^3(ab+bc+a), \quad ac^3(ab+bc+c), \quad ac^5. \end{gathered}$$

The deglex Gröbner basis for the second determinantal ideal is as follows:

$$ac, \quad a^3(b+1), \quad a^2b(b+1), \quad ab^2(b+1), \quad b^2c(b+1), \quad bc^2(b+1), \quad (b+1)c^3.$$

The zero set of this ideal is the union of three lines in $\mathbb{F}^3$:

$$\{\,(a, -1, 0) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}\,\} \cup \{\,(0, b, 0) \mid b \in \mathbb{F}\,\} \cup \{\,(0, -1, c) \mid c \in \mathbb{F}\,\}.$$

Removing the two points of Proposition 4.9, we obtain the parameter values which produce a matrix of consequences with rank exactly 18. The two points being removed are the origin and the intersection of the three lines:

$$\{(a, -1, 0) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}, a \neq 0\} \cup \{(0, b, 0) \mid b \in \mathbb{F}, b \neq 0, -1\} \cup \{(0, -1, c) \mid c \in \mathbb{F}, c \neq 0\}.$$

These computations could be extended to higher determinantal ideals, but the results rapidly become more complicated.

4.3. Case 3

For rank 2, case 3, the quadratic relation matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition 4.11. *For rank 2, case 3, the only parameter values which produce the minimal rank 17 for the matrix of consequences in arity 10 (not displayed) are $a = b = 0$. Rank 18 occurs only for two pairs: $(a, b) = (-1, 1)$, (α, α^2) where $\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1 = 0$. All other values of a and b give rank 19.*

Proof. The matrix of consequences has size 21×22 since the quadratic monomial relation produces the same cubic relation in two different ways. Its partial Smith form has two zero rows and one zero column, which we delete; the remaining 19×21 matrix consists of an identity matrix of size 17 and this 2×4 block:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -a & 0 & -b & 0 \\ -a^2b & -a^3 + ab & -b^2a & -b^3 - ab \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly the ideal generated by the entries of the block has Gröbner basis $\{a, b\}$. The deglex Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by the 2×2 minors is

$$a^4 - a^2b, \quad a^3b - ab^2, \quad ab^3 + a^2b, \quad b^4 + ab^2.$$

This is a zero-dimensional ideal with zero set

$$(a, b) = (0, 0),\ (-1, 1),\ (\alpha, \alpha^2) \qquad (\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1 = 0).$$

This completes the proof. □

4.4. Case 4

For rank 2, case 4, the quadratic relation matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & b \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition 4.12. *For rank 2, case 4, the only parameter values which produce the minimal rank 17 for the matrix of consequences in arity 10 (not displayed) are $a = b = 0$. Rank 18 occurs only for two pairs: $(a, b) = (-1, -1)$, (α, α^{-1}) where $\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1 = 0$. All other values of a and b give rank 19.*

Proof. The matrix of consequences has size 22×22, and its partial Smith form has one zero row and three zero columns, which we delete. The remaining 21×19 matrix consists of an identity matrix of size 17 and the following 4×2 block:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -b^3 - ab \\ a & -ba^2 \\ b & -b^2a \\ 0 & -a^3 - ab \end{bmatrix}$$

The ideal generated by the entries has Gröbner basis $\{a, b\}$. The deglex Gröbner basis for the second determinantal ideal is the same as Case 3 up to sign changes:

$$a^4 + a^2b, \quad a^3b + ab^2, \quad ab^3 + a^2b, \quad b^4 + ab^2.$$

This is a zero-dimensional ideal with zero set

$$(a, b) = (0, 0),\ (-1, -1),\ (\alpha, \alpha^{-1}) \qquad (\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1 = 0).$$

This completes the proof. □

4.5. Case 5

For rank 2, case 5, the quadratic relation matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition 4.13. *For rank 2, case 5, the only parameter value which produces the minimal rank of 17 for the matrix of consequences in arity 10 (not displayed) is $a = 0$; the rank is 19 if $a \neq 0$.*

Proof. The matrix of consequences has size 21×22, and contains only one parameter, so we can compute its Hermite normal form. The HNF has two zero rows and one zero column, which we delete, leaving a matrix of size 19×21. There are 17 rows with leadings 1s; the other two rows have a as the leading (and only nonzero) entry. This completes the proof. □

4.6. Case 6

For rank 2, case 6, the quadratic relation matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition 4.14. *For rank 2, case 6, there are no parameters and the matrix of consequences has rank 17.*

Proof. The matrix of consequences has only 17 rows since the two quadratic monomial relations produce duplicate cubic consequences. The 17×22 matrix has no zero rows and five zero columns, and is already in row canonical form. □

4.7. Summary for quadratic relation rank 2

Theorem 4.15. *For a 2-dimensional space of quadratic relations in arity 7, the rank r of the cubic consequences satisfies $17 \leq r \leq 22$. In case 1, a Zariski dense subset of the space of parameter values corresponds to rank 22 and hence to operads which are nilpotent of index 3; this happens in no other case. The following is a complete list of pairs of quadratic relations for which the rank achieves the minimal value of 17:*

$$1a) \qquad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_1 \phi, \qquad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_2 \phi,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
1b) &\qquad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_1 \phi - \phi \circ_4 \phi, \qquad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_2 \phi, \\
2a) &\qquad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_1 \phi, \qquad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_3 \phi, \\
2b) &\qquad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_1 \phi - \phi \circ_4 \phi, \qquad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_3 \phi, \\
3) &\qquad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_1 \phi, \qquad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_4 \phi, \\
4) &\qquad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_2 \phi, \qquad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_3 \phi, \\
5) &\qquad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_2 \phi, \qquad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_4 \phi, \\
6) &\qquad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_3 \phi, \qquad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_4 \phi.
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Quadratic Relation Rank 3

5.1. Case 1

For rank 3, case 1, the quadratic relation matrix is

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & a \\
0 & 1 & 0 & b \\
0 & 0 & 1 & c
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Each row of this matrix is the coefficient vector of a quadratic relation which has 11 cubic consequences which are linear combinations of the 22 monomials in Table 1. The resulting 33×22 matrix over $P = \mathbb{F}[a, b, c]$ whose rows generate the submodule of the free module P^{22} of cubic consequences is given in Table 14; the rows have been sorted so the leading 1s go from left to right.

Proposition 5.1. *The matrix of consequences in Table 14 has rank 21 or 22. The rank is 21 for the following parameter values,*

$$
(a, b, c) \in \{ (0, 0, 0),\ (-1, 0, 0),\ (-1, -1, -1),\ (-1, \alpha, \alpha^{-1}) \},
$$

where α is a primitive 6th root of unity ($\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1 = 0$). The rank is 22 for all other values; since $\dim \mathcal{O}(10) = 22$, these operads are nilpotent of index 3.

Proof. Computing the partial Smith form of this matrix takes 21 iterations; the result is an upper left identity matrix of size 21, and a lower right column vector of size 12. Hence the matrix has rank 21 or 22, and the rank is 21 if and only if the vector is zero. In monic form, the 9 nonzero vector components are

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a^2(a+1), \quad ba(a+1), \quad ca(a+1), \quad b^2(a+1), \quad c^2(a+1), \\
&b(b^2+c), \quad b(bc+a), \quad c(bc+a), \quad c(c^2+b).
\end{aligned}
$$

These components generate an ideal whose deglex Gröbner basis ($a \prec b \prec c$) is

$$
b^2 - ca, \quad c^2 - ba, \quad a^2(a+1), \quad ab(a+1), \quad ac(a+1), \quad bc(a+1).
$$

This ideal is zero-dimensional, as can be seen from the first 3 elements. Hence its zero set is finite, and the 5 points in the statement of the theorem can easily be obtained starting from the alternative $a = 0$ or $a = -1$ (element 3). □

Remark 5.2. Compare Propositions 3.2 and 5.1: both have exactly 5 points which produce minimal rank. The similarity comes from the fact that these two families of 3-parameter operads are Koszul duals, except for an (even) shift in the (homological) degree of the generating operation. Markl and Remm [6, Remark 2] state: "If $\mathcal{P}$ is a quadratic operad generated by an operation of arity n and [homological] degree d, then the generating operation of [its Koszul dual] $\mathcal{P}^!$ has the same arity but degree $-d+n-2$". We have $n=4$ and $d=0$.

5.2. Case 2

For rank 3, case 2, the quadratic relation matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The 32×22 matrix of consequences is given in Table 15; one consequence is generated twice but is only included once.

Proposition 5.3. *The matrix of consequences for rank 3, case 2 has rank 21 if and only if $a = b = 0$; for all other values of the parameters, the matrix has rank 22 and the operad is nilpotent of index 3.*

Proof. Computing a partial Smith form of this matrix requires 21 iterations; we obtain an upper left identity matrix of size 21, and a lower right block which is a column vector of size 11. The matrix has rank 21 if and only if the vector is zero. Four entries of the vector are zero, and the monic forms of the remaining seven are a, b, ab, a^3, a^2b, ab^2, $b(b^2+a)$. These elements generate an ideal with Gröbner basis $\{a, b\}$, and its zero set is the single point $\{(0,0)\}$. □

5.3. Case 3

For rank 3, case 3, the quadratic relation matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

There is only one parameter; the matrix entries belong to $\mathbb{F}[a]$, and so we can compute the HNF to understand how the rank depends on the parameter.

Proposition 5.4. *The matrix of consequences for rank 3, case 3 has rank 21 if $a = 0$ and rank 22 otherwise (in which case the operad is nilpotent of index 3).*

Proof. The 28×22 matrix of consequences has leading 1s in these 28 positions,

$(1,1), (2,1), (3,2), (4,3), (5,3), (6,4), (7,4), (8,5), (9,6), (10,6), (11,7), (12,7),$
$(13,8), (14,10), (15,11), (16,12), (17,13), (18,14), (19,14), (20,15), (21,16),$
$(22,17), (23,18), (24,19), (25,19), (26,20), (27,21), (28,22)$

and the parameter a in these 11 positions,

$$(1,2), (2,5), (3,8), (4,9), (6,10), (8,11), (9,12), (11,13), (13,9), (18,15), (24,20);$$

all other entries are 0. The HNF is I_{22} except for a in position $(9,9)$. □

5.4. Case 4

For rank 3, case 4, the quadratic relation matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition 5.5. *The matrix of consequences for rank 3, case 4 has rank 21.*

Proof. The matrix of consequences has size 21×22; the first column is zero, and the rest is an identity matrix of size 21. This matrix is already in RCF. □

5.5. Summary for quadratic relation rank 3

In what follows α is a primitive 6th root of unity ($\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1 = 0$).

Theorem 5.6. *For a 3-dimensional space of quadratic relations in arity 7, the rank r of the cubic consequences is either 21 or 22. The following is a complete list of triples of relations for which the rank achieves the minimal value of 21.*

$$\begin{aligned}
&1a) \quad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_1 \phi, \quad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_2 \phi, \quad \rho_3 = \phi \circ_3 \phi, \\
&1b) \quad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_1 \phi - \phi \circ_4 \phi, \quad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_2 \phi, \quad \rho_3 = \phi \circ_3 \phi, \\
&1c) \quad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_1 \phi - \phi \circ_4 \phi, \quad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_2 \phi - \phi \circ_4 \phi, \quad \rho_3 = \phi \circ_3 \phi - \phi \circ_4 \phi, \\
&1d) \quad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_1 \phi - \phi \circ_4 \phi, \quad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_2 \phi + \alpha\phi \circ_4 \phi, \quad \rho_3 = \phi \circ_3 \phi + \alpha^{-1}\phi \circ_4 \phi, \\
&2) \quad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_1 \phi, \quad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_2 \phi, \quad \rho_3 = \phi \circ_4 \phi, \\
&3) \quad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_1 \phi, \quad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_3 \phi, \quad \rho_3 = \phi \circ_4 \phi, \\
&4) \quad \rho_1 = \phi \circ_2 \phi, \quad \rho_2 = \phi \circ_3 \phi, \quad \rho_3 = \phi \circ_4 \phi.
\end{aligned}$$

In all other cases, the rank is 22 and the operad is nilpotent of index 3.

Acknowledgements

Murray Bremner was partially supported by a Discovery Grant from NSERC, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. His visit to Cape Town in November-December 2015 was partially supported by a grant from NRF, the National Research Foundation of South Africa. He thanks the Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics at the University of Cape Town for its hospitality.

References

References

[1] M. R. Bremner, V. Dotsenko: *Algebraic Operads: An Algorithmic Companion*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2016 (to appear).

[2] D. A. Cox, J. Little, D. O'Shea: *Using Algebraic Geometry*. Second edition. Springer, New York, 2005.

[3] A. V. Gnedbaye: Opérades des algèbres (k+1)-aires. *Operads: Proceedings of Renaissance Conferences (Hartford, CT/Luminy, 1995)*, 83–113. Contemp. Math., 202. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[4] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, O. Patashnik: *Concrete Mathematics: A Foundation for Computer Science*. Second edition. Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1994.

[5] J.-L. Loday, B. Vallette: *Algebraic Operads*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 346. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.

[6] M. Markl, E. Remm: (Non-)Koszulness of operads for n-ary algebras, galgalim and other curiosities. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.* Published online: 15 October 2014. DOI: 10.1007/s40062-014-0090-7.

Algorithm. *Input*: An $m \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with entries in $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$. *Output*: An $m \times n$ block diagonal matrix $C = \mathrm{diag}(I_r, B)$ such that:

- $C = UAV$ where U $(m \times m)$ and V $(n \times n)$ are products of elementary matrices over $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$ and hence $\det(U), \det(V) \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$; in other words, C is row-column equivalent to A.
- I_r is the $r \times r$ identity matrix where r is the minimal rank of A over all values of $x_1, \ldots, x_k$:

$$r = \min\{\ \mathrm{rank}(A|_{x_1=a_1,\ldots,x_k=a_k}) \mid (a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in \mathbb{F}^k\ \}.$$

- B is an $(m-r) \times (n-r)$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$ such that

$$\mathrm{rank}(A|_{x_1=a_1,\ldots,x_k=a_k}) = r + \mathrm{rank}(B|_{x_1=a_1,\ldots,x_k=a_k}),\ \forall (a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in \mathbb{F}^k.$$

1. Set $r \leftarrow 1$.
2. While some $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ for $i, j \geq r$ do:
   (a) Set $i \leftarrow r$. While $a_{ij} \notin \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ for all $j \geq r$ do: set $i \leftarrow i + 1$.
   (b) If $i \neq r$ then interchange rows i and r.
   (c) Set $j \leftarrow r$. While $a_{rj} \notin \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ do: set $j \leftarrow j + 1$.
   (d) If $j \neq r$ then interchange columns j and r.
   (e) If $a_{rr} \neq 1$ then multiply row r by $1/a_{rr}$. [*Create new diagonal 1.*]
   (f) For i from $r + 1$ to m do:
   - If $a_{ir} \neq 0$ then add $-a_{ir}$ times row r to row i.
   (g) For j from $r + 1$ to n do:
   - If $a_{rj} \neq 0$ then add $-a_{rj}$ times column r to column j.
   (h) Set $r \leftarrow r + 1$.
3. Set $r \leftarrow r - 1$.

Table 10: Partial Smith form of a matrix over a polynomial ring

1)	$R_2 - R_1$,	$C_3 - aC_1$,	$C_4 - bC_1$,		
2)	$R_3 \leftrightarrow R_2$,	$R_4 - R_2$,	$C_3 - cC_2$,	$C_4 - dC_2$,	
3)	$R_5 \leftrightarrow R_3$,	$R_4 + cR_3$,	$R_5 + aR_3$,	$C_{14} - aC_3$,	$C_{18} - bC_3$,
4)	$R_6 \leftrightarrow R_4$,	$R_5 + bR_4$,	$R_6 + dR_4$,	$C_{15} - aC_4$,	$C_{19} - bC_4$,
5)	$R_7 \leftrightarrow R_5$,	$R_8 - R_5$,	$C_6 - cC_5$,	$C_7 - dC_5$,	
6)	$R_9 \leftrightarrow R_6$,	$R_7 - aR_6$,	$R_8 + cR_6$,	$C_{17} - aC_6$,	$C_{21} - bC_6$,
7)	$R_{10} \leftrightarrow R_7$,	$R_8 + dR_7$,	$R_{10} - bR_7$,	$C_{18} - aC_7$,	$C_{22} - bC_7$,
8)	$R_{11} \leftrightarrow R_8$,	$R_{12} - R_8$,	$C_{10} - aC_8$,	$C_{11} - bC_8$,	
9)	$R_{13} \leftrightarrow R_9$,	$R_{14} - R_9$,	$C_{10} - cC_9$,	$C_{11} - dC_9$,	
10)	$R_{15} \leftrightarrow R_{10}$,	$R_{12} + aR_{10}$,	$R_{14} + cR_{10}$,	$C_{15} - cC_{10}$,	$C_{19} - dC_{10}$,
11)	$R_{16} \leftrightarrow R_{11}$,	$R_{12} + bR_{11}$,	$R_{14} + dR_{11}$,	$C_{16} - cC_{11}$,	$C_{20} - dC_{11}$,
12)	$R_{17} \leftrightarrow R_{12}$,	$R_{13} - aR_{12}$,	$R_{17} - cR_{12}$,	$C_{17} - cC_{12}$,	$C_{21} - dC_{12}$,
13)	$R_{18} \leftrightarrow R_{13}$,	$R_{17} - dR_{13}$,	$R_{18} - bR_{13}$,	$C_{18} - cC_{13}$,	$C_{22} - dC_{13}$,
14)	$R_{19} \leftrightarrow R_{14}$,	$R_{15} - a^2R_{14}$,	$R_{18} - acR_{14}$,	$R_{19} - cR_{14}$,	$C_{16} - aC_{14}$,
	$C_{17} - bC_{14}$,				
15)	$R_{20} \leftrightarrow R_{15}$,	$R_{17} - acR_{15}$,	$R_{18} - adR_{15}$,	$R_{19} - c^2R_{15}$,	$R_{20} - abR_{15}$,
	$C_{16} - cC_{15}$,	$C_{17} - dC_{15}$,			
16)	$R_{21} \leftrightarrow R_{16}$,	$C_{19} \leftrightarrow C_{16}$,	$R_{17} - adR_{16}$,	$R_{18} - bdR_{16}$,	$R_{19} - cdR_{16}$,
	$R_{20} - b^2R_{16}$,	$C_{21} - aC_{16}$,	$C_{22} - bC_{16}$,		
17)	$R_{22} \leftrightarrow R_{17}$,	$C_{20} \leftrightarrow C_{17}$,	$R_{19} - d^2R_{17}$,	$R_{21} - bR_{17}$,	$R_{22} - bdR_{17}$,
	$C_{21} - cC_{17}$,	$C_{22} - dC_{17}$.			

Table 11: Row and column operations for proof of Lemma 4.2

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & a & . & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
1 & . & . & . & a & . & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & 1 & c & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & b & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & b & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & b & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & 1 & c & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & b & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & b \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & . & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & c & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & c & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & . & b & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & c & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & c & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & c & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & c & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & c & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & c \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & a & . & b \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & c
\end{bmatrix}$$

Table 12: Rank 2, case 2: matrix of consequences in arity 10

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -a^2 & \cdot & -ab \\
\cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -a^2c^2-ab & \cdot & b \\
\cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & ac^2 & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -ca & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -ca \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & ac^2+b & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a & -ac^2 & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & c & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a^3c^2+a^2b+abc+a^2 & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & c \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot
\end{array}\right.
\left.\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
-ab & \cdot & -b^2 \\
\cdot & \cdot & b^2c^2-abc+bc^2 \\
\cdot & \cdot & abc^3+b^2c \\
-ab & \cdot & -b^2 \\
b & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & -bc \\
\cdot & \cdot & b \\
\cdot & \cdot & abc^3+b^2c+bc \\
\cdot & \cdot & -abc^3-b^2c-bc \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
ab^2+ab & \cdot & -ab^2c^2+a^2bc \\
 & & -abc^2+b^3+b^2 \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & -c^2 \\
\cdot & \cdot & -ac^3-bc \\
\cdot & \cdot & c^3 \\
\cdot & \cdot & -c^2 \\
\cdot & \cdot & c \\
a & \cdot & b \\
c & \cdot & -c^3 \\
\cdot & 1 & c \\
\cdot & \cdot & a^2c^3+abc+bc^2+c^2 \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot
\end{array}\right]$$

Table 13: Rank 2, case 2: deglex Gröbner basis for submodule generated by consequences

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
1 & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & 1 & . & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & 1 & c & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & . & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & 1 & c & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & a & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & a \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & b & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & b & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & c & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & b & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & b & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & c & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & b & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & b \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & a & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & c & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & b & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & c & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & c & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & c & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & c & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & c & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & c & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & c \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & a \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & b \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & c
\end{bmatrix}$$

Table 14: Rank 3, case 1: matrix of consequences in arity 10



Table 15: Rank 3, case 2: matrix of consequences in arity 10